IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.K.MOHANAN

MONDAY, THE 17TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 26TH BHADRA 1929

CRP.No. 1075 of 2005()

CMA.26/2004 of ADDL. DISTRICT COURT, PARAVUR
EA.150/04 IN E.P.814/96 IN OS.30/1989 of ADDL.SUB COURT,PARAVUR

.....

REVN. PETITIONER: APPELLANT/JUDGMENT DEBTOR.

ANTHONY, S/O.VARIYADU, KANAPPILLY,
KOTTUVALLI PAKUTHI, VALLUVALLI MURI.

BY ADV. SRI.S.SHYAM
SRI.N.K.KARNIS
SRI.BOBBYMATHEW KOOTHATTUKULAM

RESPONDENT:RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/DECREE HOLDER.

MANGALA AMMAL, W/O.HARIHARA SUBRAMANIA IYER, KANNAKULANGARA KIZHAKKE MADAM, N.PARAVUR, REPRESENTED BY HER POWER HOLDER SAHASRANAMA IYER, S/O.HARIHARA SUBRAMANIA IYER, KANNAKULANGARA, KIZHAKKE MADAM, NORTH PARAVOOR.

BY ADV. SRI.V.CHITAMBARESH
SRI.T.C.SURESH MENON

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 17/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R. RAMAN & V. K. MOHANAN, JJ.

C.R.P. NO. 1075 OF 2005

DATED THIS, THE 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2007.

O R D E R

Raman, J.

A memo has been filed by the respondent - decree holder stating that the amount due to her has been paid and further praying that the sale confirmed in favour of the decree holder can be set aside and the appeal can be disposed of.

2.This civil revision petition is filed against the order refusing to set aside the sale which was confirmed in appeal. In the light of the settlement arrived at and in terms of the memo filed, this civil revision petition is allowed. The sale confirmed in favour of the decree holder is set aside and the decree is recorded as satisfied.

P.R. RAMAN,
(JUDGE)

V. K. MOHANAN,
(JUDGE)

knc/-